SITE SELECTION FOR URBAN FORESTRY DEVELOPMENT AS A MITIGANT OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN ILORIN AREA, SOUTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH OF NIGERIA

BY

ASONIBARE, Femi Oluwatosin MTECH/SNAS/2013/4210

THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE POSGRADUATE SCHOOL, FEDERALUNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, MINNA, NIGERIA, IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY (MTECH) DEGREE IN CLIMATE CHANGE AND ADAPTED LANDUSE

JUNE, 2015

DECLARATION

I, hereby declare that this thesis titled: "Site Selection for Urban Forestry Development as a Mitigant of Climate Change in Ilorin Area, Southern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria" is a collection of my original research work and it has not been presented for any other qualification anywhere. Information from other sources (published or unpublished) has been duly acknowledged.

ASONIBARE, Femi Oluwatosin MTECH/SNAS/2013/4210 FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, MINNA, NIGERIA

SIGNATURE AND DATE

iii

CERTIFICATION

This thesis titled: Site Selection for Urban Forestry Development as a Mitigant of Climate Change in Ilorin Area, Southern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria. carried out by ASONIBARE, Femi Oluwatosin (MTech/SNAS/2013/4210) meets the regulations governing the award of Degree of Master of Technology of the Federal University of Technology Minna, and it is approved for its contribution to scientific knowledge and literacy presentation.

Dr. A. A Okhimamhe Supervisor

.....

Signature & Date

Dr. A. A Okhimamhe Director, WASCAL

.....

Signature & Date

Dean Postgraduate School Prof. M. G. M. Kolo

Signature & Date

DEDICATION

This study is dedicated to my parents for their love, prayers, support and encouragement; may God continue to keep them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest thanks goes to God for the successful completion of the program.

This work was fully funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through the West Africa Science Service Center on Climate change and Adapted Land use (WASCAL) programme.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my director and supervisor Dr. A. A. Okhimamhe, for her guidance, encouragement and support throughout this study, Thank you ma.

I am grateful to my mentor Miss Julia, Mama Saratu, the entire members of the academic and non-academic staff of WASCAL and Department of Geography, Federal University of Technology, Minna, for their support. A special acknowledgement to Dr. Umaru Emmanuel, Dr. Mansir Aminu and Director, GIS department, Office of the Surveyor General for their immersed assistance in my GIS analysis.

I am thankful to Mr. Olumoh Abdulrahman of the Federal Ministry of Forestry and Environment, Ilorin, Kwara State, who helped me with my forest inventory information. To my colleagues, thank you for your encourages during the course of this study. I am indeed grateful.

ABSTRACT

This study describes a site selection process for urban forestry development as a mitigant of climate change in Ilorin Area, Southern guinea savannah of Nigeria. Based on actual conditions of the study area and other related studies, constrains and factors were considered for the site suitability analysis for afforestation. Slope, elevation, roads, rivers and water bodies, settlements, land price/value and land use were considered. Criteria weights were calculated using the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) using pair-wise Comparism. The consistency ratio for the AHP of this study was 0.07, which was acceptable. A geographic information system (GIS) was used for analysis and presentation of the spatial data. The maps were prepared, reclassified and standardized within the GIS environment. Image classification was used to generate land use, land cover map of the study area for 2015 from Landsat 8 image. The classes generated for the classification are; bare surface 198,350.80 hectares (87.3%), water body 513.41 hectare (0.2%), settlement 7,858.79 hectare (3.6%) and vegetation 18,798.63 (8.9%). Land suitability map for afforestation location was generated using Weight Linear Combination method and the results of the analytical hierarchy process. The resultant map displayed four classes of suitability; high suitability, moderate suitability, low suitability and not suitable. The result of the suitability analysis showed that about 45,654.75 hectares (20.2%) fall under the category of high suitability. Moderate and low suitability covered an area of 74,559.73 hectares (33.1) and 66,869.07 hectares (29.7%) respectively while, not suitable areas accounted for 38.438.05 hectares, about 17.0% of the study area for afforestation. By using the stated criteria, the suitable areas for afforestation site fall majorly on the North western part of the study area. Analyzing the results of the identified afforestation sites for carbon sequestration potentials, local species like Azadirachta indica, Gmelina arborea, Parkia biglobosa and Anacardium occidentale were used to estimate the amount of carbon dioxide that can be sequestered for the study area. The average numbers of trees was estimated to be 400 trees per hectare. Azadirachta indica and Gmelina arborea was estimated to sequester about 1,102.32 and 1,084.04 metric tons of carbon dioxide per hectare respectively The average carbon sequestration potential for a medium sized coniferous tree planted within the identified sites and allowed to grow for 10 years was estimated to be about 15.6 metric ton carbon dioxide. This study shows the ability of GIS, remote sensing and AHP as a veritable tool for analyzing criteria for land suitability. It highlights the potentials of abundance of land available for climate change mitigation by carbon sequestration through afforestation and reforestation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Content | Page |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Cover page | |
| Title page | ii |
| Declaration | iii |
| Certification | iv |
| Dedication | v |
| Acknowledgements | vi |
| Abstract | vii |
| List of Tables | xiii |
| List of Figures | xiv |
| List of Abbreviations/ Glossaries | xvi |

CHAPTER ONE

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.1 | Background to the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem | 3 |
| 1.3 | Justification of the Study | 5 |
| 1.4 | Aim and Objectives | 6 |
| 1.5 | Description of the Study Area | 7 |

| 1.5.1 | Location | 7 |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 1.5.2 | Climate | 9 |
| 1.5.3 | Geology | 9 |
| 1.5.4 | Soil and Vegetation | 9 |
| 1.5.5 | Settlement | 10 |
| 1.5.6 | Population | 10 |
| 1.6 | Scope and Limitation of the Study | 11 |

CHAPTER TWO

| 2.0 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 12 |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Conceptual Framework | 12 |
| 2.1.1 | Land Evaluation for Forestry | 12 |
| 2.1.2 | Land Suitability Classification | 13 |
| 2.1.3 | Land Cover and Land Use | 14 |
| 2.1.4 | Urban Forests and Carbon Sequestration | 15 |
| 2.1.5 | Carbon Sequestration | 16 |

| | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1.6 | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change | |
| | And Carbon Sequestration | 17 |
| 2.1.7 | Other benefits of Urban Forest | 20 |
| 2.2 | Review of Relates Studies | 22 |
| | | |
| CHAI | PTER THREE | |
| 3.0 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 34 |
| 3.1 | Description of data Collected | 34 |
| 3.1.1 | Topographic Criteria | 35 |
| 3.1.2 | Socio-economic criteria | 36 |
| 3.1.3 | Environmental Criteria | 37 |
| 3.1.4 | Geological Criteria | 38 |
| 3.1.5 | Land use map | 38 |
| 3.1.6 | Experts Opinion | 40 |
| 3.1.7 | Carbon Sequestration Potentials | 41 |
| 3.2 | Data Analysis | 42 |

| 3.2.1 | Land use map | 42 |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.2.2 | Extraction of Criteria Maps | 45 |
| 3.2.3 | Determination And Assessment Of Criteria Weights | 49 |
| 3.2.4 | Generation Of Suitability Map | 53 |
| 3.3 | Carbon Sequestration Potential | 53 |

CHAPTER FOUR

| 4.0 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 56 |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Land Use and Land Cover | 56 |
| 4.2 | Thematic Maps and Reclassification | 62 |
| 4.2.1 | Buffering of thematic maps | 62 |
| 4.3 | Analytical Hierarchy process | 88 |
| 4.4 | Weighted overlay Analysis | 89 |
| 4.4.1 | Final Site Selection For Urban Forestry Development | 90 |
| 4.5 | Carbon Sequestration Potentials | 92 |
| 4.5.1 | Planting and Maintaining Trees | 98 |

CHAPTER FIVE

| 5.0 | SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 99 |
|------------|--|-----|
| 5.1 | Summary of findings | 99 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 101 |
| 5.3 | Recommendations | 102 |
| REFERENCE | | 105 |
| APPENDICES | | 117 |

LIST OF TABLES

| 2.1 | Benefits and uses of Urban Forests and Trees | 20 |
|-----|--|----|
| 3.1 | List of Equipment and Tools | 35 |
| 3.2 | Landsat Data Used for the Study | 39 |
| 3.3 | Pair-wise Comparison Matrix | 41 |
| 3.4 | Classification for Land Suitability Analysis for Afforestation | 49 |
| 4.1 | Percentage Coverage of Land use and Cover Categories | 57 |
| 4.2 | Confusion Matrix for the Landsat Image 2015 Classification | 60 |
| 4.3 | Criteria, Buffers and Suitability Scores for the Overlay | 63 |
| 4.4 | Criteria Rank and Percentage Weights | 89 |
| 4.5 | Final suitability and percentages | 92 |
| 4.6 | Tree species and Carbon Sequestration Potential | 95 |

LIST OF FIGURES

page

| 1.1 | Map of Nigeria Showing Kwara State and the Study Area | 8 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Land Use and Land Cover Map of Ilorin using 2015 | 59 |
| 4.2 | Reclassified Land Use Map | 61 |
| 4.3 | Slope Map of the Study Area | 65 |
| 4.4 | Reclassified Slope Map | 66 |
| 4.5 | Elevation Map of the Study Area | 68 |
| 4.6 | Reclassified Elevation Map | 69 |
| 4.7 | Road Map of the Study Area | 71 |
| 4.8 | Buffer road map | 72 |
| 4.9 | Reclassified Road Map | 73 |
| 4.10 | Settlement Map of the Study Area | 75 |
| 4.11 | Buffer settlement map | 76 |
| 4.12 | Reclassified Settlement Map | 77 |
| 4.13 | Land value Map of the Study Area | 79 |
| 4.14 | Reclassified Land Value Map | 80 |

| 4.15 | River map of the Study Area | 82 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.16 | Buffered River map | 83 |
| 4.17 | Reclassified River Map | 84 |
| 4.18 | Soil Map of the Study Area | 86 |
| 4.19 | Reclassified Soil Map of the Study Area | 87 |
| 4.20 | Final Site Selection For Urban Forestry Development | 91 |
| 4.21 | Suitable Areas for Urban Forests | 97 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION/GLOSSARIES

| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations |
|--------|--|
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GPS | Global Positioning Systems |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| LULC | Land Use Land Cover |
| WASCAL | West Africa Science Service Centre on Climate change and Adapted |
| | Land use |
| RS | Remote Sensing |
| UN | United Nations |
| REDD | Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation |
| CDM-AR | Clean Development Mechanism-afforestation/reforestation |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| USGS | Unite State Geological Survey |
| USEPA | United Nations Environmental Protection Agency |
| AHP | Analytical Hierarchy Process |
| MCA | Multi-criteria Analysis |
| SRTM | Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission |

DEM Digital Elevation Model