See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342918743

# Integrating Climate Change Issues in the Upper Basic Schools of the Gambia: A Test Case of the Upper Basic School Curriculum

Article in Environmental Earth Sciences · July 2020



READS

All content following this page was uploaded by Tobi Owa on 14 July 2020.

www.iiste.org

# Integrating Climate Change Issues in the Upper Basic Schools of the Gambia: A Test Case of the Upper Basic School Curriculum

O.O. Oluwatobi<sup>1</sup>\* Francis Adesina<sup>2</sup> B.L.J. Jammeh<sup>3</sup>

1.West African Science Service Center on Climate Change and Adapted Land Use (WASCAL)

2.Department of Geography, Obafemi Awolowo, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

3. Curriculum Department, Ministry of Education, The Gambia

## Abstract

The study reported here focuses on integrating climate change issues in School curriculum in The Gambia. The objectives are to determine the extent to which climate-related themes presently feature in the Upper Basic (lower secondary) schools of The Gambia; propose an integration plan for enhanced climate themes in the school curriculum; and identify suitable teaching methods for conveying climate change information to students. A curriculum audit of two subject areas in the Upper Basic School where Climate issues could be taught was done. Also, a determination of suitable teaching methods for climate change themes was done with a set of questionnaires administered to 104 teachers and educators. Literature review was used to determine suitable themes for integration in the school curriculum and to obtain appropriate teaching methods. The Likert scale was used to rate the suitability of the various methods. The findings revealed that there are gaps in the curriculum in relation to climate change. For example, they showed an insufficient explanation of the impacts of climate change inheads which constituted the items included in the questionnaire, 12 were considered appropriate for teaching climate change themes. There was no significant difference between the mean responses of educators and teachers on the appropriate methods for teaching climate change topics. The study recommends inclusion of themes such as renewable energy sources into the school curriculum.

**Keywords:** Climate Change, Teaching Methods, Curriculum, Integration, Upper Basic School **DOI**: 10.7176/JEES/9-4-05

Publication date: April 30th 2019

## 1. Introduction

The debate about whether climate is changing has largely been laid to rest. Increased frequency of extreme climatic events, floods and storms especially in the tropical environment are some of the signals of changes in the climate. For instance, globally, virtually every successive year since 2010 has been hotter (e.g. UK Met Office, 2017). Robison and Brooks (2010) observed that "Variation in rainfall: drought and flooding – the intrusion of saltwater along the River Gambia during dry seasons, as well as soil degradation, has led to decreases in areas of lowland rice planting. Flash floods in the inner delta of the Niger River have led to loss of pastoral areas and breeding areas for fish. In the Grand Popo region of Benin, floods have occurred annually from July November since 2000, making travel impossible without a canoe."

As agreed under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change especially within the context of the Paris Agreement of 2015, communities and individuals must continue to take concrete actions to assuage the negative impacts of climate change through mitigation and adaptation actions, Some of the actions will require adopting practices and lifestyles that limit the production of greenhouse gases. They will also include actions that make it possible for the individuals and communities to cope with the growing adverse impacts of climate change.

A critical requirement is proper education on the phenomenon of climate change and about what to do to address it. Education is the process of facilitating learning, or the acquisition of knowledge, skills, values, beliefs, and habits (wikipedia.org). The individual and the society at large must know and appreciate the fact that the phenomenon has come to live with us for many years ahead. They must know the anthropogenic causes of the phenomenon and be conscious of what is required both to live with the known impacts, and contribute to slowing down the rate of the change.

Considerable sensitization is going in many countries in West Africa to raise awareness on this major environmental challenge of our time (e.g., Robinson and Brooks, 2010; https://www.usaid.gov/west-africaregional/environment). In the Gambia, some efforts which have been made included the initiatives put in place by The Gambian government such as the production of a simplified version of The Gambia's First National Communication (FNC), containing some climate change initiatives, in an A5 booklet with illustration in colored pages which was later distributed for use in educational institute countrywide as a teaching aid. Training of teachers during the first and second phases of a Training of Information Program on the Environment (TIPE) project is another good initiative. Some other programs carried out included a series of training programs on the Kyoto Protocol process, which took place from 2004-2012. Training was also given to 12 professionals who

work at the land use change and forestry (LUCF) and agricultural sectors by a three-year Greenhouse Gas Inventory (GHGI) project implemented in the Gambia. (Gambia's Second National Communication). As robust as some of these campaigns are, they are yet to make appreciable impacts on attitudes of several individuals and communities. There is clearly a need to pay more attention to infusing climate change issues into the formal education system to constitute what makes an educated person in a particular country. This is the focus of this paper with particular attention on the republic of Gambia. For this to be effectively done, the curriculum must be adjusted to include climate change content. Curriculum can therefore be defined as a deliberately and systematically planned body of knowledge, skills and attitudes which are grouped into subject topics and taught to learners in schools (Ikehi et al., 2014). Curriculum is also viewed "... as the document used as instructional guide in formal institutions", (Chakeredza, *et al.*, 2009). Ikehi *et al.*, 2014 pointed out that "curriculum is a deliberately and systematically planned attempt to change the behavior of young and inexperienced to enable them gain the insight that helps them solve problems for a better society" and the list of subject topics taught in schools is what is generally referred to as curriculum. Again, curriculum could be planned and received. Planned curriculum referred to the blueprint in syllabuses and prospectus while actual or received curriculum refers to what is realized in terms of pupil's experience (Kelly, 2009)

Integration simply refers to the incorporation of something into another in order to improve it (Ikehi et al 2014). To actualize the goal of effecting an attitudinal change in the general public through climate change education the following objectives were targeted.

To determine the extent to which climate-related themes presently feature in the Upper Basic (lower secondary) schools of The Gambia;

To propose an integration plan for enhanced climate themes in the school curriculum; and

To identify suitable teaching methods for conveying climate change information to students.

## 2. Methodology

## To achieve objectives 1&2

A curricula audit was done to determine the specific climate change topics already been taught in Upper Basic (lower secondary) schools of The Gambia. This was done to identify the existing gaps in them in relation to climate change education. A list of climate change themes developed was used for the audit and observations were made on areas that need adjustments in the curriculum as well as where integration of new climate change themes could be carried out. The result of the audit also revealed all the climate themes already featured in the curriculum.

### To achieve objective 3

A research question was developed and answered by the study. Descriptive survey research design was employed for the study. The sample for the study was 104, 25 educators at the Ministry of Basic and Secondary Education and 79 teachers in schools which participated in an environmental program, selected using purposive sampling. The sample selection considered those who are well placed to answer the questions. A 14-item structured questionnaire was developed from the literature reviewed for the study and was used for data collection. Weighted mean was used to answer the research questions. Standard deviation was used to validate the mean. To answer the research questions, each item was assigned real limit number as follows; stronglyagree-4.50-5.00, agree-3.50-4.49, strongly disagree-2.50-3.49, Disagree-1.50-2.49, Don't know-1.00-1.49. Any item with a weighted grand mean (X<sub>G</sub>) of 3.50 and above was considered as "agree" while any item whose weighted grand mean is below 3.50 was considered as "disagree". The standard deviation was used to determine the closeness of the respondents from the mean and to each other and otherwise.

Theme	Units	Content			
1. Climate	1. Meaning of the concept	What is climate change?			
change concept	2.Definition of related concepts	Difference between weather and climate			
	3. Aims and purposes of studying climate	Relationship between weather and climate			
	change	What is greenhouse effect?			
	4. The climate system	Consist of five major components; The			
	The climate parameters	atmosphere, hydrosphere, cryosphere, land surface and the biosphere.			
	5. The basic science of climate change	Temperature, humidity, wind, precipitation			
		The atmosphere; structure of the atmosphere;			
		troposphere, mesosphere, stratosphere,			
		thermosphere and exosphere.			

## 3. Results

Table1. Climate Change Themes for Integration

www.iiste.org

Theme	Units	Content
2. The causes of	1. Natural causes	How are we causing climate change
climate change		Gases causing climate change include H <sub>2</sub> 0
		vapour, $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ , $NO_2$ etc.
	2. Anthropogenic emissions	Burning fossil fuel in car, industry and homes,
	3. Agricultural activities like clearing, tilling	deforestation, burning of forests
	4. CO2 from industrial and steam engines	
	5. Increased refuse/waste dumps	
	6. Ocean current process	
	7. Gas flaring	
3. The impacts	1. Melting ice and sea level rise	Thermal expansion, melting of land ice
of climate	2. Changes in precipitation pattern	Stronger hurricanes, reduced rainfall, greater
change	3. Impacts on organism	drought and more water
_	4. Impacts on ecosystem	Decrease in organisms
	4. Impacts on human health	Increase in ill-health related diseases and
	5. Impacts on agriculture	death
	6. Aquatic lives	Drought, desertification, flooding
	7. Livestock	
	8. Soil	
4. Mitigation of	1.Fossil fuel emission	Switching to low to zero carbon energy
climate change	2. Control of greenhouse gas production	sources such as solar, wind, wave energies
impacts	3. Climate change education	(renewable energies).
	4. Proper waste management (burying of	Expanding forests and other "sinks" to
	wastes).	remove greater amount of CO <sub>2</sub> from the
	5.Bio-engineering of microbes to eliminate	atmosphere.
	GHG	Conversion of agricultural or forestry waste to
	6. Stipulating laws and policies	fuel.
5. Adaptation to	1. Use of irrigation facilities	Increase crop production using Irrigation
climate change	2. Practicing climate-smart agriculture	facilities
impacts and	3. Filling the sky with Sulphur IV Oxide to	Adopt climate change sensitive crop varieties
disaster risk	block	and cultivars
reduction.	intense solar rays	Strengthen early warning system
		Adopting agro-forestry system
		Creating grazing areas for herdsmen
		Concepts of disaster
		preparedness
		How to identify risks, local
		threats and vulnerabilities and
		their relationships

Table 2. Social a	and Environmental	Studies (Grade 7)
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Theme of	Unit and	Climate Change(CC)	Gaps and	
SES	Page			Suggestions
		Theme	Units of CC Theme	
Theme 1	2, pg. 8	Climate change concept The impacts of CC	The climate system Changes in precipitation pattern	
Theme 2	1, pg.38	The impacts of CC	Impacts on agriculture	

Theme	Unit Climate change (C		CC) Themes found	Gaps and Suggestions			
of SES	and						
	Page						
		Theme	Units of CC Theme				
Theme 2	4, pg.	Climate change	Definition of related	Climate			
	40	concept	concept				
	5, pgs.	Climate change	Definition of related	Difference between weather and climate			
	44-49	concept	concept	Relationship between weather and climate			
		Climate change		Temperature, humidity, wind,			
		concept	The climate system	precipitation			
			The climate parameters	Burning fossil fuel in car, industry,			
	5, pgs.	The causes of	Anthropogenic	deforestation and burning of forests or			
	50-51	climate change	emissions	bushfires.			
		_					
	6, pgs.	The causes of	Natural causes	Gases causing climate change include;			
	52 - 55	climate change		water vapour. Salt water intrusion			
				resulting from evaporation which is			
				caused by high temperature.			

# Table 3. Social and Environmental Studies (Grade 8)

Table 4. Social and Environmental Studies (Grade 9)

Theme of SES	Unit and Page	CC Theme found	Gaps/Suggestions	
		Theme	Units of CC Theme	
1	1, pg. 5, 14	Climate change concept	The climate system	Land surface, hydrosphere.

## Table 5. Science (Grade 7)

Theme of Science	Unit and Page	CC Theme found		Gaps/ Suggestions
		Theme	Units of CC Theme	
2	1, pg. 29	Climate change concept	The climate parameters	
3	1, pgs. 54- 57	Causes of climate change	Gases causing climate change	Water vapour, $CO_2$ not well explained (could be improved upon)
4	1, pgs. 67- 68, 74-75	Climate change concept	The basic science of climate change	The atmosphere not elaborate (could be improved upon)
	2, pgs. 78- 79	Climate change concept	The climate system	
5	1, pgs. 87- 89	Impacts of climate change	Impacts on ecosystem	Impact on ecosystem should be included.
9	1, pg. 124	Mitigation of climate change impacts	Fossil fuel emission	

Table 6. Science (Grade 8)

Theme of	Unit and	CC Theme found	d	Gaps/ Suggestions
Science	Page			
		Theme	Units of CC Theme	
1	3, pg.12	Impacts of CC	Impact on ecosystem	Decrease in organism not emphasized
				but could be a good entry point
5	1, pgs. 41-42	Mitigation of climate change impacts	Reduction of greenhousegas productionPropermanagement	
7	1, pgs. 52-55	Impact of climate change	Impacts on human health	Impacts on human health not focused on and could be a good entry point for effects on normal functioning of human internal organs.

Table 7. Science (Grade 9)							
Theme	Unit and	CC Theme four	nd	Gaps/Suggestions			
01 Science	Page						
Science		Theree	Units of CC Theme				
	1 4 0	Ineme	Units of CC Theme				
1	1, pgs. 4-9	Impact of	Impacts on ecosystem	Interruption in the life cycle of			
		climate		organisms and adaptation to their			
		change		environment missing			
5	1, pgs. 55-75	Impact of	Impacts on health	How climate change interrupts the			
		climate	-	normal functioning of the body system			
		change		not included.			
6	1, pg. 79	Mitigation of	Proper waste				
		climate	management				
		change	_				
		impacts					
6	2, pgs. 81-85	Impacts of CC	Impacts on health	Impacts of climate change on spread of			
		-	-	diseases not found. It should be added.			
7	1, pg. 89	Mitigation of	Controlling the	Switching to low to zero carbon energy			
		climate	production of	sources such as solar, wind, wave			
		change	greenhouse gases	energies (and other renewable sources of			
		impacts	5 5	energy) not well explained, it should be			
				well explained.			
7	1, pg. 89	Mitigation of	Proper waste	Conversion of agricultural or forestry			
		climate	management	waste to fuel.			
		change	-				
		impacts					

## Results of the teaching methods for climate change topics are displayed below

Table 8. Mean rating of respondents on the most appropriate method for teaching the meaning

S/N	Item statement on the	XE	XT	$SD_E$	$SD_T$	X <sub>G</sub>	$SD_G$	t-cal	p-
	method								value
1	Teacher-centered	3.1200	3.3418	1.1299	1.03634	3.2885	1.05824	913	.364
2	Child-centered	4.4400	4.3924	2.71181	.83846	4.4038	.80676	.256	.799
3	Resource-based learning	4.4000	4.2658	.86603	.91580	4.2981	.90178	.647	.519
4	Lecture method	3.1600	3.5696	1.28062	1.26778	3.4712	1.27680	-1.405	.163
5	Demonstration method	4.4000	4.4051	.64550	.70745	4.4038	.69000	032	.975
6	Discussion method	4.6000	4.4430	.50000	.71157	4.4808	.66800	1.024	.308
7	Field trip, excursion	4.5200	4.4810	.96264	.86024	4.4904	.88125	.192	.848
8	Role play/modeling/drama	4.3200	3.9747	.98826	1.02500	4.0577	1.02234	1.480	.142
9	Project work or activity	4.3600	3.9747	.90738	1.10911	4.0673	1.07274	1.577	.118
10	Experimental/exploration	4.4800	4.1899	.58595	.96178	4.2596	.89220	1.424	.157
11	Student participation	4.6400	4.3797	.70000	.88149	4.4423	.84563	1.346	.181
12	Audio visual Video/picture	4.6400	4.4177	.63770	.85646	4.4712	.81201	1.195	.235
13	Brainstorming	4.0400	4.1013	.61101	1.03273	4.0865	.94623	281	.779
14	Combination of diff mtds.	4.3200	4.0506	1.02956	1.06095	4.1154	1.05488	1.114	.268

N= 104 (25 Educators and 79 Teachers).

The table 8 above shows the mean rating of respondents (Educators and Teachers) on the most appropriate method for teaching the meaning of climate change. From the above table, the weighted grand mean of both the educators and teachers ranged from 3.2885 to 4.4904. The items whose weighted grand mean was below 3.50 include teacher-centered method and lecture method. All other methods had their weighted grand mean above 3.50. Therefore, the most appropriate method for teaching the meaning of climate change include; Child-centered, resource-based learning, Demonstration method, Discussion method, Field trip, excursion and site seeing, Role play/modeling/drama, Project work or activity, Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction, Student participation in community, Audio visual Video/picture show, Brainstorming, Combination of different methods. The P-value of above 0.05 for all the items indicated no significant difference between the responses of the educators and teachers.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nost uppro		~~				
Item statement on the	X <sub>E</sub>	$SD_E$	$X_{T}$	$SD_T$	$X_{G}$	$SD_G$	t-cal	p-
method								value
Teacher-centered	3.5200	1.15902	3.1899	1.11028	3.2692	1.12544	1.282	.203
Child-centered	4.6400	.48990	4.3418	.88992	4.4135	.81979	1.597	.113
Resource-based learning	4.4000	.86603	4.2278	.79983	4.2692	.81528	.919	.360
Lecture method	3.3200	1.10755	3.6835	1.11567	3.5962	1.11929	-1.422	.158
Demonstration method	4.3200	.74833	4.3797	.62642	4.3654	.65445	396	.693
Discussion method	4.4800	.65320	4.3418	.78260	4.3750	.75283	.799	.426
Field trip, excursion and	4.6000	.57735	4.3544	1.06263	4.4135	.97154	1.103	.273
site seeing								
Role	4.3600	.75719	3.9114	1.10000	4.0192	1.04260	1.899	.060
play/modeling/drama								
Project work or activity	4.5600	.50662	3.8481	1.09882	4.0192	1.03324	3.128	.002
based learning								
Experimental/exploration	4.5600	.50662	4.0886	1.01514	4.2019	.93870	2.230	.028
and research for								
knowledge construction								
Student participation in	4.4400	.82057	4.3165	.76030	4.3462	.77296	.695	.489
community								
Environmental project								
Audio visual	4.5200	.65320	4.3291	.87298	4.3750	.82659	1.006	.317
Video/picture show								
Brainstorming	4.0400	.88882	3.9114	1.10000	3.9423	1.05045	.532	.596
Combination of different	4.4800	1.00499	4.1013	.87112	4.1923	.91457	1.825	.071
methods								
	Item statement on the method Teacher-centered Child-centered Resource-based learning Lecture method Demonstration method Discussion method Tield trip, excursion and site seeing Role play/modeling/drama Project work or activity based learning Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction Student participation in community Environmental project Audio visual Video/picture show Brainstorming Combination of different methods	The analog of responses is of the responses is of the responses of the responses is of the responses of the responses of the responses of the response of the	Thread rating of response its on the files tappedItem statement on the method $X_E$ $SD_E$ Teacher-centered $3.5200$ $1.15902$ Child-centered $4.6400$ .48990Resource-based learning $4.4000$ .86603Lecture method $3.3200$ $1.10755$ Demonstration method $4.3200$ .74833Discussion method $4.4800$ .65320Field trip, excursion and site seeing $4.6000$ .57735Role $4.3600$ .75719play/modeling/drama-Project work or activity based learning $4.5600$ .50662and research for knowledge construction $4.5600$ .50662Student participation in community $4.4400$ .82057Audiovisual $4.5200$ .65320Video/picture show $4.0400$ .88882Combination of different methods $4.4800$ 1.00499	Item statement on the method $X_E$ $SD_E$ $X_T$ Teacher-centered $3.5200$ $1.15902$ $3.1899$ Child-centered $4.6400$ $.48990$ $4.3418$ Resource-based learning $4.4000$ $.86603$ $4.2278$ Lecture method $3.3200$ $1.10755$ $3.6835$ Demonstration method $4.3200$ $.74833$ $4.3797$ Discussion method $4.4800$ $.65320$ $4.3418$ Field trip, excursion and site seeing $4.6000$ $.57735$ $4.3544$ Project work or activity based learning $4.5600$ $.50662$ $3.8481$ Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction $4.5600$ $.50662$ $4.3165$ Student participation in community Environmental project $4.4400$ $.82057$ $4.3165$ Audio visual $4.5200$ $.65320$ $4.3291$ Video/picture show $4.0400$ $.88882$ $3.9114$ Combination of different methods $4.0400$ $.88882$ $3.9114$	Item statement on the method $X_E$ $SD_E$ $X_T$ $SD_T$ Teacher-centered $3.5200$ $1.15902$ $3.1899$ $1.11028$ Child-centered $4.6400$ .48990 $4.3418$ .88992Resource-based learning $4.4000$ .86603 $4.2278$ .79983Lecture method $3.3200$ $1.10755$ $3.6835$ $1.11567$ Demonstration method $4.3200$ .74833 $4.3797$ .62642Discussion method $4.4800$ .65320 $4.3418$ .78260Field trip, excursion and site seeing $4.6000$ .57735 $4.3544$ $1.06263$ Project work or activity based learning $4.5600$ .50662 $3.8481$ $1.09882$ Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction $4.4400$ .82057 $4.3165$ .76030Student participation in community Environmental project $4.5200$ .65320 $4.3291$ .87298Video/picture show $4.0400$ .88882 $3.9114$ $1.10000$ Brainstorming $4.0400$ .88882 $3.9114$ $1.10000$ Combination of different methods $4.4800$ $1.00499$ $4.1013$ $.87112$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Table 9. Mean rating of respondents on the most appropriate method for teaching the causes of climate change.

N= 104 (25 Educators and 79 Teachers).

The table 9 above shows the mean rating of respondents (Educators and Teachers) on the most appropriate method for teaching the causes of climate change. Based on the assigned real limit value for the items, a weighted grand mean ( $X_G$ ) of 3.50 and above was considered as "agree" while weighted grand mean of below 3.50 was considered as "disagree". The range of the weighted grand mean of both the teachers and educators is 3.2692 to 4.3750 and items whose weighted grand mean were above 3.50 include the following; Child-centered, Resource-based learning, Lecture method, Demonstration method

Discussion method, Field trip, excursion and site seeing, Role play/modeling/drama Project work or activity based learning, Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction Student participation in community environmental project, Audio visual Video/picture show, Brainstorming, Combination of different methods. The P-value of above 0.05 for all the items indicated no significant difference between the responses of the educators and teachers.

Table 10. Mean rating of respondents on the most appropriate method for teaching the impacts of climate change.

S/N	Item statement on the	X <sub>E</sub>	SDE	XT	SDT	X <sub>G</sub>	SD <sub>G</sub>	t-cal	Р-
	method								value
1	Teacher-centered	3.4000	.95743	3.5443	1.10706	3.5096	1.07030	586	.559
2	Child-centered	4.6400	.48990	4.2532	.94011	4.3462	.86764	1.970	.052
3	Resource-based learning	4.4800	.77028	4.3291	.67409	4.3654	.69754	.942	.348
4	Lecture method	3.4000	1.11803	3.7089	1.07598	3.6346	1.08885	-1.239	.218
5	Demonstration method	4.2800	.89069	4.2911	.81888	4.2885	.83227	058	.954
6	Discussion method	4.4400	.58310	4.4177	.76137	4.4231	.71993	.134	.894
7	Field trip, excursion and	4.6800	.47610	4.4177	.74434	4.4808	.69646	1.655	.101
	site seeing								
8	Role	4.3200	.85245	4.0253	1.02500	4.0962	.99043	1.301	.196
	play/modeling/drama								
9	Project work or activity	4.4000	.70711	3.9494	1.09660	4.0577	1.03179	1.928	.057
	based learning								
10	Experimental/exploration	4.4800	.65320	4.2152	.85741	4.2788	.81796	1.418	.159
	and research for								
	knowledge construction								
11	Student participation	4.5600	.71181	4.3291	.85817	4.3846	.82800	1.218	.226



	-								-
S/N	Item statement on the	$X_{\rm E}$	$SD_E$	X <sub>T</sub>	SD <sub>T</sub>	X <sub>G</sub>	$SD_G$	t-cal	P-
	method								value
12	Audio visual	4.5600	65064		.81031	4.4519	.77434	.799	.426
	Video/picture show			4.4177					
13	Brainstorming	4.0000	.70711	3.7848	1.27773	3.8365	1.16678	.802	.424
14	Combination of different	3.9200	1.07703	4.0127	.99349	3.9904	1.00962	398	.691
	methods								

N= 104 (25 Educators and 79 Teachers).

From the above table, the weighted grand mean of the items ranged from 3.5096 to 4.4519, indicating that all the items were agreed upon by both teachers and educators as being apt for teaching the impacts of climate change. The methods appropriate for teaching the impacts of climate change are; Teacher-centered, Child-centered, Resource-based learning, Lecture method, Demonstration method

Discussion method, Field trip, excursion and site seeing, Role play/modeling/drama Project work or activity based learning, Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction, Student participation in community environmental project, Audio visual Video/picture show, Brainstorming, Combination of different methods. The P-value of above 0.05 for all the items indicated no significant difference between the responses of the educators and teachers.

Table 11. Mean rating of respondents on the most appropriate method for teaching the mitigation of climate change.

S/N	Item statement on the	XE	$SD_E$	XT	SDT	X <sub>G</sub>	$SD_G$	t-cal	P-
	method								value
1	Teacher-centered	2.9600	1.09848	3.6582	1.10808	3.4904	1.14056	-2.752	.007
2	Child-centered	4.6000	.50000	4.1266	1.04227	4.2404	.96033	2.187	.031
3	Resource-based learning	4.4800	.87178	4.3038	.82204	4.3462	.83340	.921	.359
4	Lecture method	3.4000	1.11803	3.8101	1.09867	3.7115	1.11192	-1.620	.108
5	Demonstration method	4.3200	.90000	4.5063	.65776	4.4615	.72303	-1.124	.263
6	Discussion method	4.6000	.57735	4.3797	.80549	4.4327	.76023	1.266	.208
7	Field trip, excursion and	4.8000	.57735	4.1013	1.19395	4.2692	1.11678	2.817	.006
	site seeing								
8	Role	4.4400	.82057	4.0253	1.03744	4.1250	1.00182	1.824	.071
	play/modeling/drama								
9	Project work or activity	4.3600	.95219	3.9747	1.16547	4.0673	1.12573	1.501	.137
10	Experimental/exploration	4.6000	.57735	4.1266	.92497	4.2404	.87573	2.410	.018
11	Student participation in	4.6000	.70711	4.3418	.97252	4.4038	.91926	1.227	.223
	community								
12	Audio visual Video	4.4800	.58595	4.2785	.89065	4.3269	.82958	1.059	.292
13	Brainstorming	4.2000	.76376	3.7975	1.20235	3.894	1.12274	1.574	.119
14	Combination of different	4.1600	1.06771	4.0506	1.06095	4.0769	1.05841	.449	.655
	methods								

N= 104 (25 Educators and 79 Teachers).

The suitable teaching methods for instruction on climate change mitigation are Teacher-centered, Childcentered, Resource-based learning, Lecture method, Demonstration method, Discussion method, Field trip, excursion and site seeing, Role play/modeling/drama Project work or activity based learning, Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction, Student participation in community environmental project, Audio visual Video/picture show, Brainstorming, Combination of different methods.

1001	Tuble 12. Mean futing of respondents on the most appropriate method for teaching adaptation to enhance change									
S/N	Item statement on the	$X_E$	$SD_E$	$X_T$	$SD_T$	$X_{G}$	$SD_G$	t- cal	Р-	
	method								value	
1	Teacher-centered	3.2400	1.05198	3.6582	1.09645	3.5577	1.09568	-1.678	.096	
2	Child-centered	4.7600	.43589	4.3544	.78508	4.4519	.73577	2.460	.016	
3	Resource-based learning	4.6800	.47610	4.2532	.82382	4.3558	.77483	2.459	.016	
4	Lecture method	3.5200	1.04563	3.7975	1.01754	3.7308	1.02617	-1.181	.241	
5	Demonstration method	4.5600	.58310	4.3418	.76604	4.3942	.72965	1.308	.194	
6	Discussion method	4.520	.65320	4.4430	.65529	4.4615	.65245	.512	.610	
7	Field trip, excursion	4.6400	.63770	4.3165	.98116	4.3942	.91819	1.546	.125	
8	Role	4.4000	.70711	4.0380	.97984	4.1250	.93151	1.709	.090	
	play/modeling/drama									
9	Project work or activity	4.3600	.90738	4.0759	.94424	4.1442	.93910	1.323	.189	
10	Experimental/exploration	4.6000	.50000	4.1139	.94716	4.2308	.88384	2.454	.016	
11	Student participation in	4.5600	.76811	4.2911	.87928	4.3558	.85807	1.371	.173	
	community									
12	Audio visual Video	4.5200	.65320	4.2278	.94665	4.2981	.89094	1.436	.154	
13	Brainstorming	4.2000	.70711	3.9873	1.12653	4.0385	1.04206	.888	.376	
14	Combination of different	4.2400	1.05198	4.0759	1.05942	4.1154	1.05488	.676	.501	
	methods									

Table	12	Mean ra	ting of r	esnondents	on the mo	st annronriate	e method fo	or teaching	adaptation to	o climate	change
1 4010	12.	IVICall Ia	ung of i	espondents		si abbiobilai	z memou io	or reaching	auabiation u	JUIIIIale	Change.

N= 104 (25 Educators and 79 Teachers).

The suitable teaching methods for instruction on climate change adaptation are Teacher-centered, Childcentered, Resource-based learning, Lecture method, Demonstration method, Discussion method, Field trip, excursion and site seeing, Role play/modeling/drama Project work or activity based learning, Experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction, Student participation in community environmental project, Audio visual Video/picture show, Brainstorming, Combination of different methods.

## P-value

The P-value of all the items was obtained using the statistic generated from the software used for the data analysis. The P-value of above 0.05 for all the items indicated no significant difference between the responses of the educators and teachers.

## 4. Discussion

The results of the research were in consonance with the findings of (Oversby, 2015), in which innovative pedagogical methods such as provocative discussion statements, generation of questions, collaborative games which initiates engagement of learners, provoking student-relevant questions, considering instructions in the light of learners' prior knowledge and their skills of independent learning were considered the most appropriate methods for teaching climate change education. The results from tables 1, 2 and 4 showed that appropriate methods for teaching the meaning of climate change included child-centered, resource-based learning, demonstration method, discussion method, field trip, excursion and site seeing, role play/modeling/drama, project work or activity, experimental/exploration and research for knowledge construction. This is in consonance with the findings of (UNICEF, 2012) which stated that environmental projects uphold the fundamental principles that are child-based, child-involving and environmentally protective like all other activities. It is also in alignment with the findings of (UNICEF, 2012), in which the involvement of school children in climate change was advocated, especially those from marginalized communities. It supported child-based approaches, which allow conduct of research and communication of findings and ideas by students. The result of the analysis also revealed that child-centred approach and resource-based methods are the most appropriate methods for teaching the themes of climate change.

## 5. Conclusion

Integration of climate change education into the National curriculum of The Gambia involves a strategic process such as a careful audit of the curriculum as was carried out in the study to identify the gaps that should be filled with climate change education. In order to do this, the needs or the level of understanding of the students in relation to the subject matter to be taught should be considered which would thereafter be followed by the use of a clearly designed teaching technique as was investigated in this study. In order to effectively teach the various themes of climate change, it is essential to employ the specific methods considered appropriate for doing so. This is important due to the varying learning abilities of the students, as that would ensure that the various categories of learners are included in the learning plan through a combination of different methods for teaching climate change topics. The learning plan hence stands a good chance of conveying climate change information effectively through the entrenchment of the phenomenon in the students for deep-rooted knowledge of its components. It will also awaken in them a vivid consciousness of the roles the environment play in their sustenance as well as their responsibilities to protect and preserve it for the coming generations.

#### 6. Recommendations

It is recommended that all the items identified as appropriate methods for teaching climate change themes be used to teach them after inclusion in the Social and Environmental Science and General Science of the Upper Basic Curriculum, especially in The Gambia.

Other recommendations include capacity building for teachers to effectively teach the topics on climate change. In addition, discovery of and excursion to affected places e.g. coastal places of Banjul and Tanji, observation with questions and answers, debates, one on one conversation on climate change topics, group presentation and invitation of special guests.

### References

- Robison R. and Brooks, R.F. (200), West Africa: the climate of change Climate change impacts, awareness and preparedness across West Africa, University of Cambridge Programme for Sustainability Leadership
- Adesina, F. A., & Odekunle, T. O. (2011). Climate Change and Adaptation in Nigeria : Some Background to Nigeria 's Response III, 15, 146–154.
- Chakeredza, S., Temu, B., Yaye, A., Makungwa, S., & Saka, D. K. (2009). Mainstreaming Climate Change into Agricultural Education : Mainstreaming Climate Change into Agricultural Education :
- Ikehi, M. E., Ifeanyieze, F. O., & Ugwuoke, C. U. (2014). Integration of Climate Change into the Senior Secondary School Agricultural Science Curriculum in Nigeria, (October), 614–621.
- IPCC, 2007. (2007). Climate Change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel. Genebra, Suíça. https://doi.org/10.1256/004316502320517344
- Kelly, A. V. (2009). The Curriculum: Theory and Practice. SAGE Publications Ltd; Sixth Edition Edition, 336. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615470802681344
- Okoli, N. J. (2014). Teacher preparation and climate change curriculum at university level in nigeria, 2(3), 1–8.
- UNICEF. (2012). Climate Change and Environmental Education. *Child Friendly Schools Manual*, 39. Retrieved from http://www.unicef.org/publications/files/CFS\_Climate\_E\_web.pdf
- Ikehi, M. E., Ifeanyieze, F. O., & Ugwuoke, C. U. (2014). Integration of Climate Change into the Senior Secondary School Agricultural Science Curriculum in Nigeria, (October), 614–621.
- IPCC, 2007. (2007). Climate Change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel. Genebra, Suíça. https://doi.org/10.1256/004316502320517344
- Okoli, N. J. (2014). Teacher preparation and climate change curriculum at university level in Nigeria, 2(3), 1–8. David Smawfield, 2013. Education and Natural Disasters. 2013.
- Kelly, A. V. (2009). The Curriculum: Theory and Practice. SAGE Publications Ltd; Sixth Edition Edition, 336. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615470802681344